

P2654 STAM WG Meeting #56

Ian McIntosh, Leonardo



Compliance with IEEE Standards Policies and Procedures

Subclause 5.2.1 of the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws* states, "While participating in IEEE standards development activities, all participants...shall act in accordance with all applicable laws (nation-based and international), the IEEE Code of Ethics, and with IEEE Standards policies and procedures."

The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution is subject to

- The IEEE Standards copyright policy as stated in the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws*, section 7, <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/sect6-7.html#7>, and the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, section 6.1, <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html>
- The IEEE Standards patent policy as stated in the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws*, section 6, <http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>, and the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, section 6.3, <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html>

**IEEE P2654
System Test Access Management
Ian McIntosh (chair)**

Working Group Meeting #56

Date: 2020-03-02

Author(s):

Name	Affiliation	Phone [optional]	Email [optional]
Ian McIntosh	Leonardo		

2. Agenda

1. Roll Call
2. Agenda
3. IEEE Patent and Copyright Slides
4. Review and approve previous minutes: February 24
5. Review open action items
6. Inter-group Collaboration
7. Discussion Topics:
 - a. Continue on outline of the draft: Assembly of assemblies, "system" definition
 - b. Use Cases: Intent and objectives
8. Any other business
9. Key Takeaways from today's meeting
10. Glossary terms from this meeting
11. Schedule next meeting
12. Topic for next meeting
13. Reminders
14. List new action items
15. Adjourn

Participants have a duty to inform the IEEE

- Participants shall inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed) of the identity of each holder of any potential Essential Patent Claims of which they are personally aware if the claims are owned or controlled by the participant or the entity the participant is from, employed by, or otherwise represents
- Participants should inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed) of the identity of any other holders of potential Essential Patent Claims

**Early identification of holders of potential
Essential Patent Claims is encouraged**

Ways to inform IEEE

- Cause an LOA to be submitted to the IEEE-SA (patcom@ieee.org); or
- Provide the chair of this group with the identity of the holder(s) of any and all such claims as soon as possible; or
- **Speak up now and respond to this Call for Potentially Essential Patents**

If anyone in this meeting is personally aware of the holder of any patent claims that are potentially essential to implementation of the proposed standard(s) under consideration by this group and that are not already the subject of an Accepted Letter of Assurance, please respond at this time by providing relevant information to the WG Chair

Other guidelines for IEEE WG meetings

- All IEEE-SA standards meetings shall be conducted in compliance with all applicable laws, including antitrust and competition laws.
 - Don't discuss the interpretation, validity, or essentiality of patents/patent claims.
 - Don't discuss specific license rates, terms, or conditions.
 - Relative costs of different technical approaches that include relative costs of patent licensing terms may be discussed in standards development meetings.
 - Technical considerations remain the primary focus
 - Don't discuss or engage in the fixing of product prices, allocation of customers, or division of sales markets.
 - Don't discuss the status or substance of ongoing or threatened litigation.
 - Don't be silent if inappropriate topics are discussed ... do formally object.

For more details, see *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, clause 5.3.10 and *Antitrust and Competition Policy: What You Need to Know* at <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/antitrust.pdf>

Patent-related information

The patent policy and the procedures used to execute that policy are documented in the:

- ***IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws***
(<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>)
- ***IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual***
(<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html#6.3>)

Material about the patent policy is available at

<http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/materials.html>

**If you have questions, contact the IEEE-SA
Standards Board Patent Committee
Administrator at patcom@ieee.org**

IEEE-SA Copyright Policy

By participating in this activity, you agree to comply with the IEEE Code of Ethics, all applicable laws, and all IEEE policies and procedures including, but not limited to, the IEEE-SA Copyright Policy.

- Previously Published material (copyright assertion indicated) shall not be presented/submitted to the Working Group nor incorporated into a Working Group draft unless permission is granted.

Prior to presentation or submission, you shall notify the Working Group Chair of previously Published material and should assist the Chair in obtaining copyright permission acceptable to IEEE-SA.

- For material that is not previously Published, IEEE is automatically granted a license to use any material that is presented or submitted.

IEEE-SA Copyright Policy

- The **IEEE-SA Copyright Policy** is described in the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws* and *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*
 - IEEE-SA Copyright Policy, see
Clause 7 of the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws*
<https://standards.ieee.org/about/policies/bylaws/sect6-7.html#7>
Clause 6.1 of the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*
<https://standards.ieee.org/about/policies/opman/sect6.html>
- IEEE-SA Copyright Permission
 - ◻ <https://standards.ieee.org/content/dam/ieee-standards/standards/web/documents/other/permissionltrs.zip>
- IEEE-SA Copyright FAQs
 - <http://standards.ieee.org/faqs/copyrights.html/>
- IEEE-SA Best Practices for IEEE Standards Development
 - http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/best_practices_for_ieee_standards_development_051215.pdf
- Distribution of Draft Standards (see 6.1.3 of the SASB Operations Manual)
 - <https://standards.ieee.org/about/policies/opman/sect6.html>

4. Review and approve minutes

Working Group Meeting #55, February 24

Draft circulated February 24.

Attendees:

Ian McIntosh (Leonardo)
Eric Cormack (DFT Solutions)
Terry Duepner (National Instruments)
Peter Horwood (Digital Development Consultants Ltd)
Bill Huynh (Marvell Inc.)
Joel Irby (AMD) (joined 11:11)
Naveen Srivastava (Nvidia)
Jon Stewart (Dell) (joined 11:18)
Louis Ungar (A.T.E. Solutions)
Brad Van Treuren (VT Enterprises Consulting Services)
Carl Walker (Cisco Systems)

5. Review open action items

Action Item Register:

<http://files.sjtag.org/PostStudyGroup/ActionItemRegister.xlsx>

Format of action number is

[Meeting#.Action# within that meeting]

[38.1] Brad: Email brief instruction on using the simulation tool.

- Working on I2C clients at last meeting.

[55.1] Brad to obtain further details of patent referenced in Michele's email. **FR3066606A1-2018-11-23**

https://worldwide.espacenet.com/patent/search/family/059974519/publication/FR3066606A1?q=FR3066606A1&called_by=epo.org

[55.2] Ian to locate previous discussion on definition of "system".

- <http://forums.sjtag.org/viewtopic.php?f=40&t=772>

6. Inter-group Collaboration

News and updates from other standards groups.

- Nothing known in advance of this meeting.

6. Discussion Topics

6.a Continue outline of the draft (Assembly of assemblies, "system" definition)

- Resources:
 - Microsoft Word Template:
<https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject/Public/mytools/draft/wordtemp.zip>
 - Style Manual:
<https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject/Public/mytools/draft/styleman.pdf>
 - Document Brainstorming notes moved to separate pack for reference:
http://files.sjtag.org/P2654WG/P2654_Reference_Pack.pptx
 - Forum thread: <http://forums.sjtag.org/viewtopic.php?f=3&t=829>
 - Draft Outline WIP at end of this pack

6.b Use Cases: Intent and Objectives

- What will we do with them?; How will it benefit the standard?

Wrap-up items

8. Any other business
9. Today's Key Takeaways
10. Glossary terms from this meeting
11. Schedule next meeting
 - March 9
12. Topic for next meeting
 - TBD
13. Reminders
14. List new action items
15. Adjourn

January 6 Section Headings

DRAFT OUTLINE

Draft Outline

1. Overview
2. Normative references
 1. IEEE Std. 1149.1-2013 etc.
3. Definitions
4. Concepts and Architecture/Technology (Small introduction of points/concepts)
 1. Introduction
 2. Relationship to other standards
 3. Interfaces
 1. Physical Layer (leveraged standards)
 2. Access and Data Link Layer
 4. Software Model
 5. Transformational Logic
 6. Retargeting
 7. Order of execution of commands
 8. Synchronization across interfaces
 9. Impediments
 10. Test Portability
 11. Security

Draft Outline (Continued 1)

5. Interfaces

1. Physical Layer (leveraged standards)

- a) Formal standards (IEEE 1149.1, 1687, 1500, etc.)
- b) Proposed standards (IEEE P1687.1, P1687.2, P1149.7, etc.)
- c) Industry standards (I2C..., SPI, etc.)
- d) Ad hoc standards compliance via generic interface description (callbacks)

2. Access and Data Link Layer

- a) Description of Access Link
- b) Description of Data Link
- c) Callbacks
- d) Retargeting for Access Link
- e) Illustration of concept

3. Hand-off/Relation to other standards

- a) API vs. Streaming packet (function call vs. iSCSI message method) Why decision for method?
- b) Hand-off discussion
- c) Format of data messages (reference Software Data Model)
- d) Illustration of concept

Draft Outline (Continued 2)

6. Software Model

- a) Data Format shared between modules
 - i. Detail the format used for messages between modules
- b) Circuit Description (how transformations interface)
 - i. Something in the order of ICL and PDL as a descriptive language
- c) Transformation Description (the transform algorithm)
 - i. How to get from point A to point B
 - a. General transformation concept
 - b. Retargeting impact
 - c. Leveraging other standards as part of the transformation (e.g., JTAG to I2C Bridge where I2C Host is described with IEEE 1687)
- d) Structural Model
 - i. How entities are wired together
 - a. Netlist – Minimal topological information (interfaces) required (ex. Like what AI did with HSDL)
 - b. Description of interfaces
 - c. Etc.
 - ii. Model components
 - a. Transformation Maps
 - b. Request Queues
 - c. Response Queues
 - d. Handlers (Callbacks)

Draft Outline (Continued 3)

7. Operational Overview

a) Hierarchical Modules (Client to Host relationships)

- i. Describe a single module concept (like slides in presentations)
- ii. Describe role of client interface
- iii. Describe role of host interface
- iv. Software dependencies
 - a. Host and Client Interfaces define the entry points to the module
 - b. These interfaces have dependency on handlers being available to decode the messages through the interfaces
 - c. The handlers have dependency on the model data for both configuration and state capture of hardware state for synchronization between software model and actual hardware entity
- v. Hint to description language format?
- vi. Definition of the P2654 host and client interface abstraction
 - a. Message interface or do we use a class API to describe it (message with command code in header vs. a function/method described in the description language as the reference to what handler to call)

b) Hierarchical Relationships

- i. Reliance on retargeting of host within bridge devices
- ii. Dynamic configuration of the path to open all AccessLinks to the target instrument. Do we want this to be automatic by the tooling or specified by the user as part of the algorithm?

Draft Outline (Continued 4)

8. System Concepts (More Detailed Descriptions)
 - a) Assembly of Assemblies (vs discrete system, board, device, core)(connectivity is what matters)(Need to prevent pigeon hole one type from an aggregation – 16TB aggregate of 16-1TB memories)(Sub- reveals hierarchy)(Not using Sub- allows for pure aggregation and recursive nature relationship)(hierarchy vs. recursion)(Recursion – same thing/interface at various levels of the hierarchy)(SALT/NaCl – testing of SALT not Na and Cl separate necessarily)(STAM interested in stimulus and response not the function of the system. That is outside our scope.)
 - b) Spanning across physical boundaries (cable interfaces)
 - c) Need simple diagrams of concepts
 - d) Multi-drop vs. point to point vs. switch/router
 - e) Available resources from sub-assembly? Discovery Activity
 - f) Available test targets
 - g) Testability targets can be a feature. Realize functionality alone may not be ideal for a test application (e.g., BIST may require constraints, Signal generator may require a narrow range with higher resolution than factory test. BIST may only test a few frequencies that are not the same ones used in your application.)

Draft Outline (Continued 5)

- 9. ~~Compliance/Conformance Concepts (Compliance Verification?)~~
[Maybe move as last chapter before annex]
 - a) ~~Degrees of compliance vs compatible~~
 - b) Compliance conforms to all essential rules of standard
 - c) Compatible complies with some rules of standard to allow communications
 - d) Must haves (mandatory), nice to have (recommendations, extension), can't do it(?)
 - e) Black box support: Provisions for inputs that perform a particular response in the HW pins with stimulus
 - a) Discovery/declaration
 - b) Data in on digital interface – waveform on analog interface (example)
 - c) PDL like procedures supplied by the black box vendor
 - d) Discovery requires low level entity to supply something like a PDL procedure (e.g., by reference vs explicit)

Draft Outline (Continued 6)

10. Extended Concepts (How to plug into STAM)

- a) Perspective of view
- b) Leveraging lower level (standards or ad hoc)
 - i. What an interface definition/specification needs to provide
 - ii. Hand-off criteria
- c) Transformation reference between modules
 - i. Data tracking (what bits are important)
 - ii. Sequential vs. cached or queued processing of requests and responses (behavioral options)
- d) Hierarchies
 - i. Scalability
 - ii. Attributes – configurations?
 - iii. Task assignments – Division of tasks
 - i. High level – application
 - ii. Single Test Sequence
 - iii. Single Test Step
 - iv. Instrumentation – Spectrum Analysis, Voltage Monitor, Power Supply, etc.
 - v. Low level primitive
- e) Difference in stimulus/data
 - i. Set up with digital stimulus and measure with analog output
 - ii. Cluster tests (diagnostics inside cluster of logic accessible with stimulus and observation surrounding its boundary)
 - iii. Tx/Rx loopback testing from DAC to ADC
 - a. Requiring manual intervention to define stimulus and how to interpret observed

Draft Outline (Continued 7)

11. Advanced Concepts (Interesting but different use cases)

a) System Interface (message based stream)

- i. STAM message packets over product communications interfaces
- ii. Queries of services available
- iii. Proxy (indirect test bus control via another interface) Interface

b) System of Systems (complex perspective)

- i. How does this differ from 10.d Hierarchies
- ii. Racks of shelves of blades
- iii. Collections of LRUs
- iv. Interconnected by cable assemblies/harnesses
- v. Smart cables?
- vi. System (has a brain/CPU?)
- vii. System (able to operate autonomously)
- viii. Can we qualify what a system is in terms of being able to identify what can be replaced to make "System" operational again? How deep down do you go?
- ix. Repairable devices vs. soft repair of device (redundancy) – still need to mark as reduced availability

Draft Outline (Continued 8)

- I. Education (Place holder about how to use and examples)
 - a. Rule
 - b. Permissions
 - c. Preventative measures to ensure compliance
 - d. Timing impact due to complexity of hierarchical interface

January 6 and 13 suggestions

CANDIDATE USE CASES

Use Cases

- TDR access between two end points
 - Interconnect Test between two end points
- SerDes Test between two end points
 - Same domain (IEEE Std. 1149.1-2013)
 - Device to Self
 - Different domain (IEEE Std. 1149.1-2013 and IEEE Std. 1687-2014)
 - Device to Device
 - Device to ATE
- JTAG to I2C Bridge
 - Protocol to Protocol transformation
 - IEEE 1687 or 1149.1 retargeting of I2C Host data
- System Test Message (via Ethernet stream interface)