

Study Group Meeting #10

Ian McIntosh, Leonardo MW Ltd.



Compliance with IEEE Standards Policies and Procedures

Subclause 5.2.1 of the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws* states, "While participating in IEEE standards development activities, all participants...shall act in accordance with all applicable laws (nation-based and international), the IEEE Code of Ethics, and with IEEE Standards policies and procedures."

The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution is subject to

- The IEEE Standards copyright policy as stated in the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws*, section 7, <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/sect6-7.html#7>, and the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, section 6.1, <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html>
- The IEEE Standards patent policy as stated in the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws*, section 6, <http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>, and the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, section 6.3, <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html>

**IEEE [TBD]
System Test Access Management
Ian McIntosh (interim chair)**

Study Group Meeting #10

Date: 2017-10-23

Author(s):

Name	Affiliation	Phone [optional]	Email [optional]
Ian McIntosh	Leonardo MW Ltd.		

Agenda

1. Roll Call
2. IEEE Patent Slides
3. Review and approve previous minutes:
4. Review open action items
5. Discussion Topics
6. Key Takeaways from today's meeting
7. Glossary terms from this meeting
8. Topic for next meeting
9. Schedule next meeting
10. Reminders
11. Any other business
12. List new action items
13. Adjourn

Instructions for the WG Chair

The IEEE-SA strongly recommends that at each WG meeting the chair or a designee:

- Show slides #1 through #4 of this presentation
- Advise the WG attendees that:
 - The IEEE's patent policy is described in Clause 6 of the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws*;
 - Early identification of patent claims which may be essential for the use of standards under development is strongly encouraged;
 - There may be Essential Patent Claims of which the IEEE is not aware. Additionally, neither the IEEE, the WG, nor the WG chair can ensure the accuracy or completeness of any assurance or whether any such assurance is, in fact, of a Patent Claim that is essential for the use of the standard under development.
- Instruct the WG Secretary to record in the minutes of the relevant WG meeting:
 - That the foregoing information was provided and that slides 1 through 4 (and this slide 0, if applicable) were shown;
 - That the chair or designee provided an opportunity for participants to identify patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) and/or the holder of patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) of which the participant is personally aware and that may be essential for the use of that standard
 - Any responses that were given, specifically the patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) and/or the holder of the patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) that were identified (if any) and by whom.
- The WG Chair shall ensure that a request is made to any identified holders of potential essential patent claim(s) to complete and submit a Letter of Assurance.
- It is recommended that the WG chair review the guidance in *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual* 6.3.5 and in FAQs 14 and 15 on inclusion of potential Essential Patent Claims by incorporation or by reference.

Note: **WG** includes Working Groups, Task Groups, and other standards-developing committees with a PAR approved by the IEEE-SA Standards Board.

Participants, Patents, and Duty to Inform

All participants in this meeting have certain obligations under the IEEE-SA Patent Policy.

- Participants [Note: Quoted text excerpted from IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws subclause 6.2]:
 - “Shall inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed)” of the identity of each “holder of any potential Essential Patent Claims of which they are personally aware” if the claims are owned or controlled by the participant or the entity the participant is from, employed by, or otherwise represents
 - “Should inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed)” of the identity of “any other holders of potential Essential Patent Claims” (that is, third parties that are not affiliated with the participant, with the participant’s employer, or with anyone else that the participant is from or otherwise represents)
- The above does not apply if the patent claim is already the subject of an Accepted Letter of Assurance that applies to the proposed standard(s) under consideration by this group
- Early identification of holders of potential Essential Patent Claims is strongly encouraged
- No duty to perform a patent search

Patent Related Links

All participants should be familiar with their obligations under the IEEE-SA Policies & Procedures for standards development.

Patent Policy is stated in these sources:

IEEE-SA Standards Boards Bylaws

<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>

IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual

<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html#6.3>

Material about the patent policy is available at

<http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/materials.html>

If you have questions, contact the IEEE-SA Standards Board Patent Committee Administrator at patcom@ieee.org or visit <http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/index.html>

This slide set is available at
<https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject/Public/mytools/mob/slideset.ppt>



Call for Potentially Essential Patents

- If anyone in this meeting is personally aware of the holder of any patent claims that are potentially essential to implementation of the proposed standard(s) under consideration by this group and that are not already the subject of an Accepted Letter of Assurance:
 - Either speak up now or
 - Provide the chair of this group with the identity of the holder(s) of any and all such claims as soon as possible or
 - Cause an LOA to be submitted

Other Guidelines for IEEE WG Meetings

- **All IEEE-SA standards meetings shall be conducted in compliance with all applicable laws, including antitrust and competition laws.**
 - **Don't discuss the interpretation, validity, or essentiality of patents/patent claims.**
 - **Don't discuss specific license rates, terms, or conditions.**
 - Relative costs, including licensing costs of essential patent claims, of different technical approaches may be discussed in standards development meetings.
 - Technical considerations remain primary focus
 - **Don't discuss or engage in the fixing of product prices, allocation of customers, or division of sales markets.**
 - **Don't discuss the status or substance of ongoing or threatened litigation.**
 - **Don't be silent if inappropriate topics are discussed ... do formally object.**

See *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, clause 5.3.10 and "Promoting Competition and Innovation: What You Need to Know about the IEEE Standards Association's Antitrust and Competition Policy" for more details.

3. Review and approve minutes

Meeting #9, October 16

Draft circulated October 16.

Attendees:

Ian McIntosh (Leonardo MW Ltd.) Brad Van Treuren (Nokia) Eric Cormack (DFT Solutions Ltd.) Terry Duepner (National Instruments) Bill Eklow (Retired) Brian Erickson (JTAG Technologies) Peter Horwood (Firecron Ltd.) Carl Walker (Cisco Systems) Bill Huynh (Marvell Inc.) Joel Irby (ARM)	Dilipan Jayachandran (SEL) Naveen Srivastava (Nvidia) Roger Lin (Via CPU Platform Inc.) Mukund Modi (NAVAIR Lakehurst) Jon Stewart (Dell) Russell Shannon (NAVAIR Lakehurst) Louis Ungar (ATE Solutions) Sivakumar Vijayakumar (Keysight) (joined 11:06) Richard Pistor (Curtiss-Wright) (joined 11:09)
--	---

4. Review open action items

Action Item Register:

<http://files.sjtag.org/StudyGroup/ActionItemRegister.xls>

Format of action number is

[Meeting#.Action# within that meeting]

[9.1] Louis will draft a proposed definition of "system" and will try to also propose a definition of "system test".

5. Discussion Topics

5.a Consider Louis' proposed definitions (supplied in earlier email)

5.b Scope, Purpose and Need

Pursue Need, then Purpose, then Scope.

Wrap-up items

6. Today's Key Takeaways
7. Glossary terms from this meeting
8. Topic for next meeting
9. Schedule next meeting
October 30 (1 hour earlier than normal for Europe)
10. Reminders
11. Any other business
12. List new action items
13. Adjourn