

Study Group Meeting #22

Ian McIntosh, Leonardo MW Ltd.



Compliance with IEEE Standards Policies and Procedures

Subclause 5.2.1 of the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws* states, "While participating in IEEE standards development activities, all participants...shall act in accordance with all applicable laws (nation-based and international), the IEEE Code of Ethics, and with IEEE Standards policies and procedures."

The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution is subject to

- The IEEE Standards copyright policy as stated in the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws*, section 7, <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/sect6-7.html#7>, and the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, section 6.1, <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html>
- The IEEE Standards patent policy as stated in the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws*, section 6, <http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>, and the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, section 6.3, <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html>

**IEEE [TBD]
System Test Access Management
Ian McIntosh (interim chair)**

Study Group Meeting #22

Date: 2018-01-29

Author(s):

Name	Affiliation	Phone [optional]	Email [optional]
Ian McIntosh	Leonardo MW Ltd.		

Agenda

1. Roll Call
2. IEEE Patent Slides
3. Review and approve previous minutes:
4. Review open action items
5. Discussion Topics
6. Key Takeaways from today's meeting
7. Glossary terms from this meeting
8. Topic for next meeting
9. Schedule next meeting
10. Reminders
11. Any other business
12. List new action items
13. Adjourn

Instructions for the WG Chair

The IEEE-SA strongly recommends that at each WG meeting the chair or a designee:

- Show slides #1 through #4 of this presentation
- Advise the WG attendees that:
 - IEEE's patent policy is described in Clause 6 of the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws*;
 - Early identification of patent claims which may be essential for the use of standards under development is strongly encouraged;
 - There may be Essential Patent Claims of which IEEE is not aware. Additionally, neither IEEE, the WG, nor the WG Chair can ensure the accuracy or completeness of any assurance or whether any such assurance is, in fact, of a Patent Claim that is essential for the use of the standard under development.
- Instruct the WG Secretary to record in the minutes of the relevant WG meeting:
 - That the foregoing information was provided and that slides 1 through 4 (and this slide 0, if applicable) were shown;
 - That the chair or designee provided an opportunity for participants to identify patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) and/or the holder of patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) of which the participant is personally aware and that may be essential for the use of that standard
 - Any responses that were given, specifically the patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) and/or the holder of the patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) that were identified (if any) and by whom.
- The WG Chair shall ensure that a request is made to any identified holders of potential essential patent claim(s) to complete and submit a Letter of Assurance.
- It is recommended that the WG Chair review the guidance in *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual* 6.3.5 and in FAQs 14 and 15 on inclusion of potential Essential Patent Claims by incorporation or by reference.

Note: **WG** includes Working Groups, Task Groups, and other standards-developing committees with a PAR approved by the IEEE-SA Standards Board.

Participants have a duty to inform the IEEE

- Participants shall inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed) of the identity of each holder of any potential Essential Patent Claims of which they are personally aware if the claims are owned or controlled by the participant or the entity the participant is from, employed by, or otherwise represents
- Participants should inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed) of the identity of any other holders of potential Essential Patent Claims

**Early identification of holders of potential
Essential Patent Claims is encouraged**

Ways to inform IEEE

- Cause an LOA to be submitted to the IEEE-SA (patcom@ieee.org); or
- Provide the chair of this group with the identity of the holder(s) of any and all such claims as soon as possible; or
- **Speak up now and respond to this Call for Potentially Essential Patents**

If anyone in this meeting is personally aware of the holder of any patent claims that are potentially essential to implementation of the proposed standard(s) under consideration by this group and that are not already the subject of an Accepted Letter of Assurance, please respond at this time by providing relevant information to the WG Chair

Other guidelines for IEEE WG meetings

- All IEEE-SA standards meetings shall be conducted in compliance with all applicable laws, including antitrust and competition laws.
 - Don't discuss the interpretation, validity, or essentiality of patents/patent claims.
 - Don't discuss specific license rates, terms, or conditions.
 - Relative costs of different technical approaches that include relative costs of patent licensing terms may be discussed in standards development meetings.
 - Technical considerations remain the primary focus
 - Don't discuss or engage in the fixing of product prices, allocation of customers, or division of sales markets.
 - Don't discuss the status or substance of ongoing or threatened litigation.
 - Don't be silent if inappropriate topics are discussed ... do formally object.

For more details, see *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, clause 5.3.10 and *Antitrust and Competition Policy: What You Need to Know* at <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/antitrust.pdf>

Patent-related information

The patent policy and the procedures used to execute that policy are documented in the:

- ***IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws***
(<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>)
- ***IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual***
(<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html#6.3>)

Material about the patent policy is available at

<http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/materials.html>

**If you have questions, contact the IEEE-SA
Standards Board Patent Committee
Administrator at patcom@ieee.org**

3. Review and approve minutes

Meeting #21, January 22

Updated draft circulated January 23.

Attendees:

Ian McIntosh (Leonardo MW Ltd.) Heiko Ehrenberg (Goepel Electronics) Eric Cormack (DFT Solutions Ltd.) Terry Duepner (National Instruments) Bill Eklow (Retired) Brian Erickson (JTAG Technologies) Peter Horwood (Firecron Ltd.) Bill Huynh (Marvell Inc.) Joel Irby (ARM) (joined 11:09)	Richard Pistor (Curtiss-Wright) Naveen Srivastava (Nvidia) Jon Stewart (Dell) Brad Van Treuren (Nokia) Carl Walker (Cisco Systems) Ed Gong (Intel Corp.) Dilipan Jayachandran (SEL Inc.) (joined 11:11) Russell Shannon (NAVAIR Lakehurst) Sivakumar Vijayakumar (Keysight)
--	---

4. Review open action items

Action Item Register:

<http://files.sjtag.org/StudyGroup/ActionItemRegister.xls>

Format of action number is

[Meeting#.Action# within that meeting]

[10.2] Brad will draft a definition for "boundary".

[21.1] Supply Ian with glossary definitions used by 1687.1 for "transformation" and "retargetting".

5. Discussion Topics

5.a Work on refinement of what SJTAG is.

See following slides on:

- Goals
- Scope
- Purpose
- Need

- *These are seeds for discussion!*

Goals (1)

SJTAG “Goal” (from the participation invitation):

- The goal of this study group is to explore the feasibility and to develop a project authorization request (PAR), including the scope and purpose, for an IEEE standard that defines methods to allow, in conjunction with existing methods, for the coordination and control of device, board, and sub-system test interfaces to extend access to the system level, by leveraging existing test interface standards (by defining a description to better manage how they are used in the system).
- Full invitation text:
<http://www.sjtag.org/news/465-participation-invite-system-test-access-management-study-group>

Goals (2)

SJTAG Summary Goals (from presentation to TTSC):

- Make the "conventional" JTAG capability **accessible** through the system hierarchy.
- To **extend** the JTAG capability to use cases beyond the "conventional".
- Provide **coordination** between diverse standards for Data Links and Access Links.

Draft Scope

SJTAG Scope:

- This standard defines methods to allow, in conjunction with existing methods, for the coordination and control of device, board, and sub-system test interfaces to extend access to the system level. The standard does not replace or provide an alternative to existing test interface standards, but aims instead to leverage them by defining a description to better manage how they are used in the system.
- Is this correct? If not, what do we need to change?

Draft Purpose

SJTAG Purpose:

- The purpose of this standard is to provide a means to seamlessly integrate component access topologies (that follow a Capture, Shift, Update cycle), interface constraints, and other dependencies at the board and system level by using a uniform description that focuses on topology and behavior (as opposed to physical structure). By modeling this topology at the board and system level, a set of familiar and yet interchangeable interfaces may be used by higher level tools to coordinate these access topologies and provide a means of routing data sets to particular destination registers in the correct time order.
- Is this correct? If not, what do we need to change?
- Is the red text a valid constraint? Why/why not?

Draft Need

SJTAG Need:

- A standardized method is needed to coordinate component access topologies, interface constraints, and other dependencies at the board and system level in order to be able to effectively leverage the existing and future component level standards. Thus, a new supervisory standard is required to **define the coordination and dependencies of instruments as well as configuration, management, and application of vector based testing** at the board and system levels. For example, IEEE 1687 and IEEE 1149.1-2013 provide methods for describing each of the instrument interfaces on a per component basis, but do not provide the contextual prerequisites for the dependence on each instrument configuration and/or aggregation of multiple instruments for the overall board and/or system maintenance operations. Further, many components only support non-JTAG interfaces (e.g., I2C or SPI) to their instrumentation registers. This standard will provide a means to utilize the pin level access provided by other standards.
- Already know this doesn't read well; probably too wordy.

Wrap-up items

6. Today's Key Takeaways
7. Glossary terms from this meeting
8. Topic for next meeting
9. Schedule next meeting
February 5, 2018.
10. Reminders
Think about potential officers, moving towards a Working Group.
11. Any other business
12. List new action items
13. Adjourn